

BOX AFRESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 3209#53
5/14/96
(NE.)PATENT
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: JOHNNY P. ELLIS

SERIAL NO.: 08/336,335

FOR: DYNAMIC INFEEED CONTROL
FOR SEGMENTING SWARF IN A
LATHE APPLICATION

FILED: 11/09/94

GROUP ART 3209

EXAMINER: K. HANSEN

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS
CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH
THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS
FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE
ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
AND TRADEMARKS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
ON THE DATE SHOWN:

Date:

5/6/96

FRANK J. CATALANO

RECEIVED

MAY 1 1996

GROUP 3200

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED 3/4/96

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
AND TRADEMARKS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

DEAR SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated March 4, 1996, please consider the following
remarks:

REMARKS

The Examiner again rejects claims 1, 2 and 6 under 35 USC, Section 103 as
unpatentable over Medeksza in view of AAPA. The Examiner argues that Medeksza
teaches means for reciprocating a tool at an oscillatory rate relative to a work piece **along**